

Appl. No. 10/669,076  
Reply to Office Action of February 23, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 12 is canceled thereby avoiding the objection to claim 12.

Claims 1-11 and 15-17 are allowed. This leaves claims 13 and 14.

The subject matter of claims 13 and 14 has been combined as claim 13 (currently amended). That is, the requirement that the substance being an electron providing dye precursor or an organic electron accepting developing agent, has been added to the end of claim 13 and claim 14 is cancelled.

The claims are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al. However, Mori et al. do not teach an electron providing dye precursor or an organic electron accepting developing agent as required by claim 13. Since an anticipation rejection requires that all of the features of the claim be found in a single reference, and since Mori et al. is missing one of the required features, it is submitted that Mori et al. does not anticipate present claim 13. Furthermore, there is nothing in Mori et al. which would render it obvious to introduce the

Appl. No. 10/669,076


Reply to Office Action of February 23, 2005

missing feature nor is there other art which teaches or renders obvious the missing feature.

In view of the above, it is requested that the rejection over Mori et al. be withdrawn and that all of the claims be allowed.

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman  
& Chick, P.C.  
767 Third Ave., 25th Floor  
New York, NY 10017-2023  
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900  
Fax No.: (212) 319-5101  
MJC/lid

Respectfully submitted,



MARSHALL J. CHICK  
Reg. No. 26,853